

## Judicial Precedents: Uniformity and Pluralism

### Eighteenth PPJ Course and Conference (2024)

<p><u>Monday, May 27</u></p> <p><b>Registration:</b> (9,00-9,30)</p> <p><b>Morning Session:</b> (9,30 – 13,00)</p> <p><b>Welcome reception at the atrium of the IUC at 13,00</b></p> <p><b>Afternoon Session:</b> (15,00 – 18,00)</p>	<p>Luca Passanante (Brescia), Judicial Precedent in Comparative Perspective – An Outline</p> <p>Richard Marcus (San Francisco), Possible Perils of Precedent: An American Cautionary Tale</p> <p>Alan Uzelac (Zagreb), Overenthusiastic? The Pitfalls of Judicial Precedents in Civil Law Countries</p> <p>Julius Schumann (Vienna), Nothing More Than Guidance? Past and Present Debates on the Role and Effect of Supreme Court Decisions in Austria and Germany</p> <p>Bart Krans (Leiden), Dutch Prejudicial Question Act</p> <p>Francesca Ferrari (Varese), Precedents Italian Style</p>
<p><u>Tuesday, May 28</u></p> <p><b>Morning Session:</b> (9,30 – 13,00)</p> <p><b>Lunch Break</b> (13,00 – 15,00)</p> <p><b>Afternoon Session</b> (15,00 – 18,00)</p>	<p>João Marques Martins (Lisbon), Rules that Enhance the “Rule” of Persuasive Precedent</p> <p>Hermes Zaneti (Vitoria), From Samba to <i>Stare Decisis</i>: The Rhythms of Legal Precedents in Brazil</p> <p>Ramón García Odgers (Concepción), Building Uniformity through Case Management in Chilean Highest Courts</p> <p>Christian Delgado (Lima), The ‘When’ and ‘Why’ of Judicial Precedents: Access Filters to Supreme Courts and Relevance</p>
<p><u>Wednesday, May 29</u></p> <p><b>Morning session</b> (9,00 – 12,00)</p> <p><b>Afternoon Session:</b> Study trip (12,30-23,00)</p>	<p>Marta Requejo Isidro (Luxembourg), Precedent and the CJEU</p> <p>Verica Trstenjak (Vienna/Ljubljana) &amp; Jorg Sladič (Ljubljana) How Does the Preliminary Rulings Procedure Ensure Uniformity of Case-law in Civil Litigation</p> <p>Albert Henke (Milan), The 'Systemic' Effects of the 'Non-binding' Opinions Rendered by the European Court of Human Rights under Protocol N. 16'</p> <p>Aleš Galič (Ljubljana), But do Material Facts Really Match: The Problem of Mechanical Application of Precedents in Europe</p> <p><i>Excursion to Konavle</i></p>
<p><u>Thursday, May 30</u></p> <p><b>Morning Session</b> (9,30 – 13,00)</p> <p><b>Afternoon Session:</b> (15,00–18,00)</p>	<p>Viktória Harsági (Budapest), Introducing the Limited Precedent System in Hungarian Procedural Law</p> <p>Piotr Rylski (Warsaw), How to Ensure Uniformity of Case Law in Civil Matters – The Example of the Polish Supreme Court</p> <p>Marek Ivančo &amp; Alexandra Löwy (Bratislava), Precedential Effects of Judgments under Slovak Law on Civil Litigation</p> <p>Tatjana Zoroska-Kamilovska (Skopje), (Principled) Legal Opinions in Macedonian Judicial System: Do We Still Need Such Nomophylactic Methods?</p> <p>Branka Babović Vuksanović (Belgrade), Between Tradition and European Integration: Methods for Ensuring the Uniform Application of Law in the Republic of Serbia</p>
<p><u>Friday, May 31</u></p> <p><b>Morning Session</b> (9,30 – 14,00)</p>	<p><i>Panel: Legal Aid Clinics – open discussion with the participation of clinical leaders and law clinics from Croatia and Europe)</i></p> <p>Biljana Đuričin (Podgorica), The Opportunities and Challenges of the Interdisciplinary Clinics: The American Experience</p> <p>Ewelina Gee – Milan (Warsaw), Law Competitions and Their Importance for Innovative Teaching in Law Clinics – with Special Attention to the Example of The Brown Mosten Client Consultation Competition</p> <p>Andy Unger (London), Solutionise Business, IT &amp; Legal Advice Centre for Local SME</p>